## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CASE NO. 1:07CR213
	)	
	)	JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS
Plaintiff,	)	Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
SAMUEL SACCONE,	)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
	)	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Defendant.	)	

Pursuant to General Order 99-49, this case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert for the purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, Defendant's offer of a plea of guilty, conducting the colloquy prescribed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, causing a verbatim record of the proceedings to be prepared, referring the matter for presentence investigation, and submitting a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation stating whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty entered. ECF Dkt. #21. The following, along with the transcript or other record of the proceedings submitted herewith, constitutes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation concerning the plea of guilty proffered by Defendant.

- On September 25, 2007, Defendant Samuel Saccone, accompanied by Attorney Paul
  F. Adamson, proffered a plea of guilty.
- 2. Prior to such proffer, Defendant Samuel Saccone was examined as to his competency, advised of the charges and consequences of conviction, informed that the Court is not bound to apply the Federal Sentencing Guidelines but must consult the guidelines and take them into consideration when it imposes the sentence, notified of his rights, advised that he was waiving all

Case: 1:07-cr-00213-JG Doc #: 24 Filed: 09/26/07 2 of 2. PageID #: 50

of his rights except the right to counsel, and, if such were the case, his right to appeal, and otherwise

provided with the information prescribed in Fed. Crim. R. 11.

3. The parties and counsel informed the Court that there was a written, signed plea

agreement between the parties. The undersigned was advised that no commitments or promises have

been made by any party, and no other agreements, written or unwritten, have been made between

the parties.

4. The undersigned questioned Defendant Samuel Saccone under oath about the

knowing, intelligent and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and the undersigned believes that

Defendant Samuel Saccone's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.

5. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged

offenses and Defendant Samuel Saccone's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing, and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned concludes that

Defendant Samuel Saccone's plea was knowing, intelligent and voluntary and all requirements

imposed by the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied.

Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty be accepted and a finding of guilty

be entered by the Court.

Date: September 26, 2007

/s/George J. Limbert

George J. Limbert

United States Magistrate Judge

ANY OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days of service of this notice. Failure to file objections within the specified time WAIVES the right to appeal the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474

U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

-2-